

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/804,793	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MILBY, GREGORY HOWARD
	<b>Examiner</b> UYEN T. LE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2163

  

**All Participants:**

(1) UYEN T. LE

(2) Howard L. Speight (Reg. No. 37,733)

**Date of Interview:** 8 October 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1,3,5,6,9,11,13,14,16,18,20,21

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's arguments regarding claims 6,14,21 are persuasive. Applicant' authorizes an examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/Uyen T. Le/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2163

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)